

Identity

MAGAZINE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
£1.95 Issue 8 April 2001 ISSN 1469-7971



*Land and
People
relaunched!*

See insert!



FOOT AND MOUTH: A DELIBERATE ATTEMPT TO DESTROY BRITISH AGRICULTURE?


see page 4

***The Group Development
Department sets up three new
groups in Sussex!***

see page 12

Tory race scandal

■ An MP finally talks some sense - better late than never!



"Our homogeneous Anglo-Saxon society has been seriously undermined by the massive immigration that has taken place since the war. Illegal immigrants have a new ploy. They call themselves asylum seekers. In my view the only way to deal with the problem is to send them back quickly." These words, by the retiring Tory MP for Yorkshire East, John Townend, sparked a storm of protest from the entire British Establishment. Even the *Daily Mail* and the *Daily*

Telegraph displayed their pro-multiracial attitudes and terror of being thought Politically Incorrect by joining in the rabid liberal-fascist attack on Mr. Townend. While the BNP wouldn't use the term 'Anglo-Saxon' because it automatically excludes the Celts and other closely-related European tribes who became the modern British, we certainly don't disagree with Mr. Townend's analysis. The only criticism we have is that he should have joined us in speaking out years ago, rather than waiting until he was about to retire. ■

Tory hypocrisy

■ Hague struggles to keep "extremism" under control



While William Hague was rushing to condemn the outspoken John Townend and to emphasise his personal and party commitment to the multiracial genocide of the British people, many local Tory organisations were busy printing thoroughly 'racist' election material in order to con a few extra votes out of the white working class. In Dagenham, Essex, for example, Tory leaflets attack Labour's 'soft' approach to asylum and the Government's policy of "importing foreign nurses with HIV." Similar phrases appear in Conservative election literature in places such as

Medway in Kent. Partly, of course, this is pure hypocrisy, no different to when the LibDems preach their extremist brand of stomach churning multiracialism in parliament, while allowing local constituency associations to play the 'race card' in parts of East London, Yorkshire and Lancashire to get votes. But it also reflects the real and growing split in the Tory party between its cosmopolitan, homosexual-ridden, pro-immigration liberal leadership, and its decent rank-and-file activists, who remain patriotic, pro-white, traditionalist and against the European Superstate project that their own party did so much to set in motion. ■


Double-standards

■ Typical double-standards from the Zionist lobby

An Arab Holocaust Museum in the West Bank town of Ramallah has offended the Zionist lobby in America, particularly as it is partially funded by the Ford Foundation. The museum includes touching exhibits such as the unfinished piece of embroidery that a Palestinian grandmother was working on when she was killed by an Israeli missile, and the hiking boots worn by Mohammed Durra, the murdered 12-year-old whose picture - showing him cowering beside his father moments before his death - has become one of the most powerful images of the uprising. The Zionists complain that the exhibition is an attempt to 'manipulate' the memory of the dead and portray Israel in a bad light. They do not explain why Mohammed Durra, who was shot dead by soldiers, should be forgotten, while Ann Frank, who died of typhus, should be remembered by the entire world. ■

Foreign diseases

■ Tuberculosis outbreak in our schools




Parents with children attending schools with large numbers of Asian pupils have been shaken by the news that seven children and a teacher at Crown Hills Community College in Leicester have contracted tuberculosis. A further 35 pupils are also feared to have caught the disease after a 13-year old pupil was diagnosed as carrying a highly infectious strain of the TB bacteria last August. Doctors

are now warning that with new drug-resistant strains dominating outbreaks, we will have little defence against a full-blown epidemic. Some experts believe that the danger is so great that TB carriers should be locked away until they are no longer infectious. Since the vast majority are of Indian or Pakistani origin, however, such a move would spark accusations of 'racism', so the problem is likely to be brushed under the carpet until it is too late for more of our children. ■

Stock market crash

■ World stock markets continue downward trend




Despite occasional rallies, world stock markets, particularly telecom shares, continue their relentless downward slide. Big company profit warnings are a daily occurrence and consumer spending is falling sharply in the USA as millions of people find the slow-motion stock market crash demolishing

the value of their investments. Japan, which has already suffered from an economic slump lasting more than ten years, is in economic freefall once again, which will put more pressure on other Far Eastern, ex-'Tiger' economies as well. Many economists now believe that Britain will be hit by a US-led recession by this autumn. A growing minority fear that what is on the way is in fact a deflationary Japanese-style slump. ■

Cultural vandalism

■ The intolerant nature of Islam - and multiculturalism



Western politicians including United States President George W. Bush queued up last month to condemn the Islamic fundamentalist Taliban authorities in Afghanistan for destroying two 1,000 year-old statues of Buddha. British nationalists too are only too well aware of the aggressive and intolerant nature of Islam, and also deplore this act of large-scale cultural vandalism. But it's a bit rich for President Bush to join in the criticism. After all, it is less than a year since George himself, while governor of Texas, ordered workers to sandblast the Confederate battle flag off the Texas Supreme Court building. Nor has he condemned the removal of statues of Confederate war heroes from cities all over the South. George Bush displays the typical double-standards we expect from modern 'conservatives'. ■

Identity

MAGAZINE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
£1.95 Issue 8 April 2001 ISSN 1469-7971



No. 8 April 2001 ISSN 1469-7971 Editor: Paul Golding
PO Box 28, Erith, DA8 2ZN Tel: 01322 410 834

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box as applicable):
☐ British Isles £15 ☐ Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ Unsealed air mail Middle East, N & S America £24 ☐ Unsealed airmail Australasia, Far East £25
Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name.....
Address.....

☐ Please send me a sample copy of *Identity*. I enclose £2.50.

All cheques etc should be made payable to **Identity** and sent to **PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ**. If you have any queries, please call us on **0700-900-6917**. Photocopies can be used if you do not wish to cut up your copy of *Identity*.



British: through and through!

Our party is a British party. We ourselves are British, and proud of it ! It should be only common sense then, to everyone who is in the British National Party who seriously wants to engage in real politics, that we should wrap our party's rhetoric, image and policy, firmly around British foundations. However, there still exists in and around sensible nationalism a tiny minority of loudly spoken hobbyists, who feel that the party should become more 'hardline.'

When quizzed as to how and the party should become more 'hardline,' these 'hardliners' imply in not so many words that the party should become more 'German.' Although that word is never used, that is what it boils down to. They usually claim that promoting a British based image is the "fluffy-bunny" image, or the "softly-softly-touchy-feely" image. There are two sides to politics; one, our principles, and two, our policies. Our policies flow from our principles. Our policies can (and sometimes must) vary, but our principles never change.

A good example

Take the race and immigration issue, our principle is, bottom line, the restoration of an overwhelmingly white Britain. Whether it is the old policy of compulsory repatriation of everyone descended from the non-whites who arrived here after 1948, or the new more voter-friendly voluntary proposals, they both seek the same end - that of a white Britain - and thus both are in accordance with our principles. That being so, the decision as to which to employ lies solely in the field of practical politics. Put simply, the policy to adopt must be the one that has more chance of gaining mass support in the shortest time scale, the one that cannot be used by our very powerful opponents in the media to portray us as heartless brutes. Clearly the voluntary approach wins hands down, and is therefore more likely to help us to achieve our goal of an overwhelmingly white Britain. Thus, if that is a 'hardline' goal, then the advocates of the more 'saleable' policy, voluntary repatriation, are the real 'hardliners'! Hardline by doing what it takes to win power, instead of engaging in self-congratulatory ideologically 'pure' posing and remaining a powerless minority as a direct consequence. All too often, those calling for a more 'hardline' stance, do so because they are not interested in real politics. They adopt the most unelectable form, and this guarantees they are not elected, but still allows them to moan and groan about how bad life in Britain is getting, while conveniently avoiding doing anything practical to combat it. To them, our sacred cause is nothing more than a hobby or a private club.

Hypocrisy and stupidity

I have heard members of this hobbyist brigade praise the success of the *Front National* in France in building what was termed "a party of government", yet two minutes later call the BNP a "sell-out" party for concentrating on practical community politics rather than on wicked international conspiracies to control the world! As you'll see on page 7, we are still quite prepared to take a close look at the undemocratic antics of the One Worlders, but there's a huge difference between sober

assessment of real facts and events, and the paranoid rantings of conspiracy cranks. Too many so-called patriots fall into this trap, very willingly sometimes, of focusing their minds on the issues most likely to help our enemies while ignoring problems that are closer to the people's hearts. Helping our enemies, I stress, by giving them exactly what they want! Our enemies don't want to have to struggle against a professional nationalist political party. They want people who are doing their best to stop themselves gaining public support, by wasting their time in extremist posturing or a fixation with sinister world conspiracies.

A tiny minority

The hobbyists and the so-called 'hardliners' are very useful to our enemies. That is why I detest them. When Jean-Marie Le Pen was successfully moulding the FN in the late seventies, he encountered fierce opposition from those 'hardliners' who clung to their 'pure' dogmas and declared that Le Pen was a 'sell-out.' But, looking at it now, who was the real 'sell-out'? Those irrelevant 'holier than thou' hardliners, or Le Pen, who managed to build a mass movement and almost take it to power before an unfortunate split?

History repeating itself

Centuries ago, those who challenged the absurd views of the church authorities were deemed 'heretics.' Nowadays, those who challenge the absurd views of the multiracialists are deemed 'racists.' And within nationalism itself, those who challenge the failed tactics of yesteryear, and offer a different route are deemed 'sell-outs.' There are always those who would rather use buzzwords to justify their inaction and failure than actually think and make the effort needed to advance. Yet, if our nation and race are to survive, we must break the circle of failure. That is why, in recent years, the British National Party has embraced the tactics which, everywhere they have been tried and tested, have brought far greater success than the old approaches. The BNP's leaders are not 'sell-outs.' They are engaging in an heroic task. The greatest task worth attempting - that of saving our race and nation - and they are doing so against overwhelming odds.

It is time to pull together and move forward on a common path - above all, a successful path!

P. Golding

Paul Golding
Director of Publicity

"Our enemies don't want to have to struggle against a professional nationalist political party. They want people who are doing their best to stop themselves gaining support, by wasting their time in extremist posturing or a fixation with sinister world conspiracies."

FOOT AND MOUTH: NEW LA SOLUTION' TO THE FARMING

Back in the early 70s, the coal miners brought Edward Heath's Tory government to its knees. The humiliation was neither forgotten nor forgiven. In 1979, Margaret Thatcher set about making methodical plans to take and, and defeat, the miners - the 'enemy within'. As soon as these preparations - including the stockpiling of huge coal stocks at power stations and the further para-militarisation of the police - were complete, Thatcher & Co manoeuvred the miners into going on strike.



At the end of that bitter battle, Britain's coal industry - once one of the mainstays of our economic and hence political independence - lay in ruins. Not that this bothered the Tories, they had taken the revenge they wanted, and had broken the back of militant opposition to their project to remould Britain on

EU. Nearly two decades later, in another epic "Who Rules Britain?" clash, the fuel protesters brought Tony Blair's New Labour government to its knees. The humiliation was neither forgotten nor forgiven. Labour's back-room planners used the 60 days' grace the protesters foolishly gave them to reorganise and head the protest off next

they be forced to do so. Such a threat is clearly intolerable to a government with the totalitarian instincts of New Labour. And who were the protesters? Hauliers and farmers! People who would not only remain very angry over the continued fuel tax rip-off, but whose mass opposition to Labour's proposed fox-hunting ban is also likely to give the Government a serious political headache.

"Enemy within"

There can be no doubt that New Labour's backroom planners would have been working the nearest they ever do to overtime to find ways to break the back of their 'enemy within' and prevent a repeat of the blockade crisis. A

"...devious people plotting to bury Britain forever in the coming European Empire know that they need to break the largest group of overwhelmingly patriotic and instinctively traditionalist people in the country..."

Election - cancelled. The BNP believes that this is a conspiracy theory too far, and would far rather the Alliance had chosen to fight the Government over the socio-economic problems of rural Britain, rather than on a contentious single issue. But that is by the by. What matters at present is the way the Government's handling of the foot-and-mouth crisis bears all the hallmarks of an operation that they don't want to succeed. New Labour have found in foot-and-mouth the perfect, plausibly deniable, weapon to break the farmers and countryside protesters once and for all, and to put the boot into many independent hauliers at the same time.

Europhiles

Once again, as well, the Europhiles are lurking in the background. Far and away the most important aim of Blair's second term is to drag Britain into full economic and political union with the EU; the single currency is only the most symbolic part of the overall scheme. But there is now so much opposition to this project that it will only be possible to force it through by essentially undemocratic means, something which in turn will make extra-parliamentary pressure the most effective means of resistance.

European empire

Hence the devious people plotting to bury Britain forever in the coming European Empire



Innovative public notices such as those pictured above are popping up all over Britain. One of our members saw the caravan (left) turned public notice in Wales. This slurry tank was spotted in Yorkshire (slurry tanks contain liquid manure!)

free market lines. Also very happy were the Eurocrats, because the destruction of our coal industry was a major step forward in their long-term plan to make the economies of the once-free nations of Europe so interdependent that not only could they never again go to war against each other, but also could never break free from the

time. This they did, but the second round of the fuel protest ended in an honourable draw, not humiliation for the protesters.

Blockades

Worse still for Blair & Co., the protesters clearly retained the ability to mount further crippling blockades in the future should

number of Countryside Alliance supporters have voiced their suspicions that the Government or Animal Rights extremists even went so far as to deliberately import the foot-and-mouth virus so as to get the huge 'Liberty and Livelihood' March - an event which would have severely embarrassed Blair and Co in the crucial run-up to the General

BOUR'S 'FINAL NG QUESTION



Simple disinfectant mats such as that pictured above should have been established everywhere in infected areas. But the Government made no effort to encourage such precautions in nearby towns.

know that they need to break the largest group of overwhelmingly patriotic and instinctively traditionalist people in the country, especially as the farmers and hauliers also have the vehicles and the campaigning experience to mount a huge and highly

"Our current masters are either criminal incompetents, or incompetent criminals. In a way it doesn't matter which, in either case Britain must get rid of them, or they will get rid of Britain!"

effective popular protest if they want to. In addition, the Eurocrats want to crush Britain's livestock industry for exactly the same reason that they worked to close down our coal mines and steel mills: a country that cannot feed itself can no more assert its independence than a country that cannot produce key raw materials of manufacturing industry.

Foreign influence

It is widely rumoured that there was a meeting of EU Agricultural Ministers back in 1998 at which it was decided that Britain should concentrate on arable production, with our livestock farms being phased out. The catastrophically mishandled foot-and-mouth is certainly taking us a long way down that road. The appearance of TV

programmes such as the Government propaganda mouthpiece Panorama asking "Does Britain need a livestock industry" is further evidence that we are not on the road by accident. Meanwhile, the free trade addicts at the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food have long believed that we

can only compete in the coming world market free-for-all if the roughly quarter of a million farms still in existence today are merged into about 10,000 giant arable agri-businesses, and roughly the same number of livestock ranches. In order to achieve this, they have been discriminating against the smaller, traditional family farms for years, and have an early retirement scheme in place for farmers who sell up to their larger neighbours. It can't have escaped their attention that the current epidemic will speed up the whole process massively.

Powerful alliance

In short, there is an alliance of very powerful forces within the New Labour Establishment with a series of interlocking motives for seeing in foot-and-mouth an ideal opportunity to break Britain's livestock farmers. This undeniable fact forces any independent-minded observer to ask whether what has appeared to be the Government's criminally incompetent handling of the tragedy, is in fact something even more sinister. We may never know for certain, but one thing is already all too clear: Our current masters are either criminal incompetents, or incompetent criminals. In a way it doesn't matter which, in either case Britain must get rid of them, or they will get rid of Britain!

**National Chairman
Nick Griffin**

Labour's foot-and-mouth effort - "A campaign they didn't want to succeed"



future outbreaks should be buried, not burnt. **So what did New Labour and MAFF do? Burn!**

• The Northumberland Report stressed that the key to beating future outbreaks was to impose nationwide restrictions on animal movements as soon as the virus was detected. **So what did New Labour and MAFF do? Cover up the outbreak for weeks, if not months, at a time of year when EU regulations lead to a huge number of untraceable sheep movements all over the country.**



• The Northumberland Report made it clear that the containment by slaughter policy only works if infected animals are culled within 24 hours. **So what did New Labour and MAFF do? Leave infected animals alive for up to seven days during the first crucial few weeks of the outbreak, while killing hundreds of thousands of perfectly healthy sheep.**

• It is known that the virus is very easily spread, including on clothes, shoes and car tyres. Although spores inside culled animals are probably killed by changes in muscle acidity shortly after death, those on the animals' muzzles, hooves and coats can live for several weeks. **So what did New Labour and MAFF do? Leave the infected carcasses of slaughtered animals lying rotting in heaps in fields to be picked over by foxes, rats and particularly carrion birds.**




• The world's leading expert on foot-and-mouth, Professor Fred Brown of Yale University, the man who successfully dealt with Britain's last outbreak on the Isle of Wight in 1981,

advised that Britain would be 'crazy' not to use the massively improved vaccines available to protect our livestock and to beat the virus. **So what did New Labour and MAFF do? Continue spreading 30-year-old misinformation about vaccination and refusing to take this vital step to prevent a disastrous explosion of the epidemic as more than 11 million cattle are turned out from their winter indoor quarters onto the spring grass and into contact with infected sheep and spring breezes laden with foot-and-mouth spores.**

INTERVIEW OF THE MONTH: DR JAMES LOCKWOOD

James Lockwood is a recently retired vet and a new recruit to the British National Party. Since joining the party, Dr. Lockwood has become active in the Home Counties, with his local branch, attending meetings and local and national activities.



Identity: Dr. Lockwood, we understand that you were an evacuee during the war. Can you tell us a little about that experience?

Dr Lockwood: My father died of cancer in 1941, just two weeks after our family home in Streatham was bombed. My mother could not afford to repair it and, because she was concerned with my father, she failed to submit papers to the War Damage Commission within the allotted period. Consequently, the house was requisitioned by the local authority, who had such powers then, and we were evicted. (My mother got that house back in 1956). We were left destitute. My mother went out to work as a post office telephonist and I was evacuated to Haslemere, Surrey in September 1941 at the age of six.

Identity: Did you find your time as an evacuee enjoyable or unpleasant, and would you say that your experience was typical?

Dr Lockwood: It was an extremely unpleasant experience. It is not possible to pluck

a young child from his or her family and plant him into another, strange family without causing the utmost trauma. In some ways my experiences were typical of most evacuees. I was ignored and I had to be out of the house wandering the streets at nearly all times except for meals and when it was time to go to bed. My hosts wanted my ration book (they sold the sweets on the black market) but not me. However, I was not physically beaten.

Identity: We hear that the BBC is advertising for former evacuees who happen to be black, with a view to doing a programme on them. Did you ever come across evacuees from what was, at the time, a very tiny non-white population, or have you heard of any since?

Dr Lockwood: I have never met or heard of a non-white evacuee. In September 1999, The Evacuees Reunion Association arranged a huge meeting of its members to commemorate the 60th anniversary of the outbreak of World War II. Approximately 6000 of us gathered on Horse Guards Parade and marched to Westminster Abbey. There was not a black face to be seen.

Identity: Assuming the BBC find them and produces the all-too-predictable, "bullied and discriminated against because of their colour" type of programme, what would your response to such a line be?

Dr Lockwood: Apart from a few fortunate children, nearly all evacuees were ill treated or neglected, and that such treatment

would have had nothing to do with their colour.

Identity: When did you first come across the BNP, and why was it of interest to you?

Dr Lockwood: I have vaguely known about BNP for a long time. I have been uneasy since the 1950s about the immigration into this country by people of alien cultures who cannot integrate, and who have no intention of integrating into the British way of life. I also always disliked the inclusion of Britain into the EEC and later the EU. The final straw for me came when I read in the newspaper that a Cambridge academic had been fined £100 for saying to a Kenyan, who was hogging the

"The single worst change is undoubtedly the imposition into our midst of large numbers of people of completely alien cultures and customs."

newspapers in a public library, "Go back to your own country". I immediately found the BNP telephone number on the internet and applied to join the party.

Identity: How would you describe or label your political views, and have they changed over the years? Were you born, or made into, a nationalist?

Dr Lockwood: I think that I was born a nationalist. I was, for many years, a member of the Conservative Party and a great admirer of Enoch Powell. I was in the Monday Club, which is at the right of the party, whose members generally hold similar views on immigration, the EU and crime to those espoused by the BNP. I came to realise, however, that the Conservatives will do nothing about the pressing problems facing this country.

Identity: We gather that you attended the South of England rally early this Spring. As a new recruit to the BNP, what impression did you get from the meeting?

Dr Lockwood: It was marvellous. It was wonderful to mix with other people who think like I do and to know that I am by no means alone. I was walking on air for weeks after the rally. Being part of such a big event once in a while makes mundane activities such as leafleting more rewarding; one understands that one is part of a much bigger team.

Identity: What you think are the biggest dangers Britain faces?

Dr Lockwood: The EU. Large-scale immigration. Political correctness.

Identity: What do you think are the single best, and worst, changes in Britain since the time when you returned home after evacuation?

Dr Lockwood: The single best change is the introduction of central heating into private homes. You cannot imagine what it used to be like to go to bed in a freezing cold bedroom in winter with a rubber hot water bottle, and then to get up in the morning in the cold. The single worst change is undoubtedly the imposition into our midst of large numbers of people of completely alien cultures and customs. I am pleased that the living standards have improved for ordinary people beyond all recognition from those that existed when I was young, but I am concerned about the decline in standards of behaviour. Examples of this can be seen all the time. For instance, it is probably a little thing, but you would never see a cyclist riding on the pavement when I was young. People had more consideration for others. I hope that these small things can also be restored when we're in a position to sort out the more obvious major ills afflicting our society.

● Ian Buckley reports on a leading member of a shadowy world-power group

DENIS HEALEY COMES CLEAN ON BILDERBERG!

In an interview in the Guardian with journalist Jon Ronson, Denis Healey - leading member of the once highly secret Bilderberg Group - found himself saying rather more than he perhaps intended. Commented Healey: "To say we were striving for a one-world government is exaggerated, but not wholly unfair. Those of us in Bilderberg felt we couldn't go on forever fighting one another for nothing and killing people and rendering millions homeless. So we felt that a single community throughout the world would be a good thing."

The Bilderberg's first meeting in 1954. Groups that wield enormous power such as the Bilderberg group are not elected and are accountable to no-one.



Healey's arrogance is breathtaking: were we ever asked for our consent to a 'single community'? Instead Healey and his co-conspirators preferred to bamboozle the public with subterfuges such as NATO and the Common Market. Such a 'single

cians, industrialists, financiers and journalists...We make a point of getting along younger politicians who are obviously rising, to bring them together with financiers and industrialists who offer them wise words. It increases the chance of having a sensible global policy." I must

say that Denis is a great one for soothing euphemisms. "Sensible global policy" sounds so much better than starving millions of Iraqi civilians, or flattening Belgrade. Among the rising politi-

cians who have been subject to the Bilderberg makeover are Maggie Thatcher in 1975 and Tony Blair in 1993.

Conspiracy?

Not to mention Bill Clinton, who with more skeletons in his closet than the average ghost train, strikes one as the beau ideal for Bilderberg manipulation. If this isn't a 'conspiracy', then what is? How many realise

that the 400% oil price shock of 1973, which had cataclysmic impact on our economy, was in fact devised at the Bilderberg meeting in May of that year at Saltsjöbaden Island, Sweden? Denis Healey should at least - he was there, along with his mates of the global elite including Crown Prince Bernhard and Henry Kissinger.


Media silence

But the Bilderbergers ensured that they, like a shy lottery winner, got 'no publicity' and the poor Arabs were blamed for the price increase. Healey's closing comments on Bilderberg have a threatening air: "But I will tell you this. If extremists and leaders of militant groups believe that Bilderberg is out to do them down, then they're right. We are. We are against Islamic funda-

rate, no huge male suicide rate, no enormous divorce rate and no abortions. Just what have we got to be so proud of? It's Britain that's visibly falling to bits, not Saudi Arabia. To the Bilderberger mentality 'extremists', 'leaders of militant groups' and 'Islamic fundamentalists' are dangerous, simply because they have ideals and don't bow down before the almighty dollar

Democracy?

'Democracy' as it presently exists in Britain is a no-choice democracy because it is domi-




Hand in hand: the mass media and globalist forces such as the shadowy Bilderberg group, global capitalism and internationalist ideologies such as Communism, socialism and liberalism. All have one common goal: the destruction of ethnic identity and the independent nation state.

community' would naturally and inexorably turn into a great profit-run global sweatshop, whatever duped idealists and 'brotherhood of man' enthusiasts might say.

Power politics

Reflect carefully on Healey's words on the power-making function of the Bilderberg Conferences: "Bilderberg is a way of bringing together politi-




Every vehicle arriving at the host hotel during the 1998 Bilderberg meeting was searched thoroughly by police and sniffer dogs.

mentalism, because it's against democracy." Denis Healey makes General Kitchener at Omdurman sound like a bit of a wet! I have, naturally, no brief for Islamic fundamentalism. But just compare a strict Islamic state like Saudi Arabia to Britain. No drugs, no glorification of homosexuality, no alcohol abuse, no sky-high crime

nated by two main parties which are subservient to the U.S. establishment and big business. But this perverted arrangement is sacred to the Bilderbergers because the party system and voters are relatively easy to manipulate in the direction of - and we have Healey's confession for this - a one-world government!

TIME TO EXPOSE THE LIBERAL HUMBUG OVER DRUG USE!



There has been much agitation recently by the liberal-left élite for the legalisation (or to use the liberal jargon 'decriminalisation') of cannabis - a soft, smokable drug. The liberal-left view on drugs, as with most things, is completely spurious and reflects their desire to 'smash traditional society'. To help nationalists win the argument, let's look at the liberal lies and untruths and demolish them one by looking at the real facts.

Lie No. 1: "Cannabis is not addictive"

False: In 1996 a survey of 200 cannabis users was carried out in Sydney, Australia, by the National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre. The survey found that 92 per cent of users were dependent on the drug and 40 per cent severely

dependent. Back in Britain, research at the University of Newcastle indicates that the tetrahydrocannabinol in cannabis activates the same 'reward' areas in the brain as cocaine, amphetamines and heroin.

Lie No. 2: "Cannabis is less harmful than conventional cigarettes."

False. According to Professor John Henry of St Mary's Hospital, London: "In smoked cannabis, the tars that cause lung cancer are far nastier than the ones in cigarettes. Not only does the cannabis cigarette have no filter, but it is smoked

differently...with cannabis, it is drawn into the lungs and held there, giving the tars a greater chance to act." The BMA says cannabis therefore leads to a much higher risk of heart disease, bronchitis, emphysema and lung cancer.

Lie No 3: "Cannabis has medical uses."

False. The BMA says: "It is unsuitable for medical use." Cannabis is a dangerous cocktail of over 400 compounds, including 60 chemical derivatives, which convert to over 2,000 different chemicals when smoked. It is these chemical derivatives which liberals claim can give pain relief to people with illnesses

such as MS or cancer. But Peter Cardy, Chief Executive of the Multiple Sclerosis Society, has warned of the "unpleasant side effects" suffered by some users. Doctors say that orally-administered delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol may have use as a therapeutic drug, but smoking cannabis does not.

Lie No. 4: "Cannabis smokers only put themselves at risk."

False. It is the most common drug, apart from alcohol, to be found in people involved in road accidents. A recent survey by the US Government found a staggering ten per cent of drivers involved in fatal

accidents tested positive for cannabis! Moreover, the narcotic effects of the drug last much longer than alcohol - up to twenty-four hours, with traces remaining in the body for a month.

Lie No. 5: "Legalisation has worked in other countries."

False. The Netherlands is often touted as a 'success' story in allowing 'controlled' use of cannabis. In fact, many of Amsterdam's coffee shops (which legally supply cannabis to youngsters) have been closed down, because they were supplied by organised criminal gangs or selling hard drugs such as heroin. Cannabis use has rocketed since the drug was legalised, leaving Amsterdam with 15,000 drug addicts, who are responsible

for 15 per cent of crime. The Ministry of Justice in the Netherlands describes Amsterdam as the 'crime capital of Europe.' In Alaska, USA, cannabis was legalised in 1975. Drug use by 12-to-17-year-olds quickly doubled compared to other US states, and drug-related crime increased rather than decreased. In 1991 a petition by Alaskan citizens, particularly parents, succeeded in getting cannabis re-criminalised.

Lie No. 6: "Cannabis is a safe drug."

False. Research by the University of Mississippi in the US indicates dramatic increase in head and neck cancers, memory loss, and reduced fertility. Babies born to mothers who use cannabis are smaller and more prone to sleeplessness. The mental health pressure group SANE

supports the view of most doctors that cannabis use can trigger schizophrenia and paranoid delusions. Over 50 scientists at a 1992 symposium in Paris concluded: "This drug adversely affects the central nervous system, the lungs, the immune and reproductive functions."

Lie No. 7: "Legalisation would reduce crime, by taking the profit out of drugs."

False. The experience of countries where cannabis has been legalised is that dealers market their product as aggressively as any other industry. Lowering the price of cannabis has two effects: First, to allow

more of the drug to be purchased by young people (including children) and second, to force dealers into the more lucrative hard drugs trade - and lead to a more out of control illegal drug industry.

Lie No. 8: "We cannot win the war on drugs, so we may as well give up."


False. The same argument could be used about drink-driving, burglary, rape or murder, paedophilia and a horde of other crimes. Moreover, in the USA, the number of people admitting to drug use in the previous month fell from 25.4 million in 1979 to 12 million in 1992, following a concerted campaign by the police, par-

ents' groups, teachers and anti-drugs workers. The most successful campaigns are those which take the tough 'no means no' approach, rather than ambiguous advice on how to use drugs 'safely'. All the main parties in Britain are soft on drugs - there is one exception however - the British National Party!

File compiled by Bruce Davidson

People like you... WORKING TO MAKE A BETTER LAND!

Rural Britain faces its biggest crisis since the 1930s. Look at just a few of the problems:



- The end of agricultural subsidies under global 'free trade' agreements;
- Public concern about the food quality and animal welfare problems associated with 'modern' farming techniques;
- The destruction of traditional landscapes and habitats;
- The crash in farm incomes;
- Loss of rural services and an ageing population;
- Low wages and deprivation;
- An out-of-touch, urban ruling 'elite', which puts liberal-left Political Correctness before British traditions and doesn't understand or care about rural life.

Land & People seeks not only to spread awareness of this crisis, but also to act as a forum for the discussion of ideas and the formulation of policies that will not just deal with the symptoms, but will also put in place radical long-term solutions.

www.land-and-people.net

Who are Land and People?

Land and People is the rural affairs circle of the British National Party. Some Land and People supporters are BNP members, others are not, some are directly connected with agriculture, others are primarily interested in our natural environment; but all share a passionate love not just of Britain, but also of the British countryside.

Land and People believes that a healthy rural economy and a sustainable and bio-diverse environment are both essential. Under a nationalist government with vision and a willingness to put long-term benefits before short-term profits, they will be complimentary rather than mutually exclusive as is often the case at present.

Land and People recognises that 'free trade' has created the conditions for economic, social and environmental catastrophe in rural Britain. We demand Britain's withdrawal from the EU, GATT and all other international bodies which undemocratically restrict the right of the elected British government to act in the best interests of British producers and consumers.

Land and People was formed in 1999 and, although still only a minor BNP circle, it has played an active role in various countryside protests, including running a boycott campaign to force Local Education Authorities to use locally produced, rather than imported, meat in school dinners. We have also organised urban BNP activists in publicity drives to make city housewives aware of the harmful effects of the supermarket's growing monopoly on meat retailing, and to encourage them to use independent butchers' shops instead.

Individual Land and People supporters were active in the Autumn 2000 fuel tax protests. We support all peaceful protests and non-violent direct action aimed at curbing the tyranny of the out-of-touch, Politically Correct, urbanite Government, and at preserving the traditional identity, freedoms and independence of rural communities.

Land and People is opposed to the growing agri-business monopoly in British agriculture. We believe that well-distributed private property, in particular the family farm, is the basis of a healthy agricultural economy and a decent society, and is the surest guard for the local traditions and cultures of the British Family of Nations.

Land and People is convinced by the wealth of scientific and anecdotal evidence of the superiority of organically produced foodstuffs, and believe that all governments, regardless of party labels, have a duty to promote practical, financially viable organic farms.

FOOT-AND-MOUTH? CRISIS FOR FARMERS? SAINSBURY'S DOESN'T GIVE A DAMN!



Anti-foot-and-mouth campaigners have asked Sainsbury's Head Office to approve the installation of disinfectant mats in their stores as part of the campaign to prevent the highly contagious virus being spread on the boots and shoes of shoppers travelling in from rural areas. They have refused!

Despite the fact that Sainsbury's have made £Millions by screwing farmers prices down, and putting their own prices up, Sainsbury's can't even be bothered to donate a couple of bottles of disinfectant in a gesture of solidarity with our desperate farmers and their families.

SHOW THEM WHAT YOU THINK- BOYCOTT SAINSBURY'S!

For more information on our campaign, check out
e-mail: mail@landandpeople.net

P&P Land & People, PO Box 14, Welshpool, SY21 0WE

*Sainsbury's poster:
Land & People activists
ran a campaign to
encourage the installation
of disinfectant mats in
supermarkets serving
rural areas.*

FOOT-AND-MOUTH SLAUGHTER: BLAIR'S FINAL SOLUTION TO THE COUNTRYSIDE QUESTION?



After the coal miners brought down the Conservative government in 1974, the Tories would not get revenge. As soon as they returned to power in 1979 they started to prepare for a 'remedy' with the miners. Margaret Thatcher then provoked the Miners' Strike and broke the miners. Entire communities were left without proper jobs and without hope. Britain was left reliant on imported coal. But the Tories were happy - Margaret Thatcher's 'enemy within' had been destroyed.

Twenty years later, Thatcher's Number One adviser - Tony Blair - was shaken when Countryside protesters organised the largest anti-government demonstration in modern times. And then, last autumn, his New Labour government was brought to its knees by the fuel tax protests. Having wriggled out of the crisis, the Government started preparing for just what to do with the promised second wave of protests, but also to break the protesters permanently.

Who were the fuel protesters? Farmers and independent butchers - the very people whose livelihoods are now being destroyed by Foot-and-Mouth disease. By the time the epidemic is over, the people who nearly destroyed Blair's government will either be bankrupt or totally reliant on state hand-outs. British agriculture will be destroyed, but New Labour's 'enemy within' will have been destroyed.

Want to know more? Look us up at
www.land-and-people.org

*BNP publications and publicity
material exposed the criminal
stupidity of the Government's
anti-foot and mouth 'strategy'*

BUY BRITISH!

It's really blindingly simple. Twice last century Britain was brought to the brink of starvation by wartime blockade because we had taken the lazy option of importing too high a proportion of our basic foodstuffs. No nation can be truly independent, and no people can be masters of their own destiny and assured of their freedom, unless that nation's farmers and fishermen grow the substantial majority of its basic food needs.

Furthermore, the liberal-capitalist practice of shipping food halfway around the world is extremely wasteful in terms of finite resources such as fuel, and often deprives poor countries of nutrition that is desperately needed for their own populations. And, as the spread of diseases such as Foot and Mouth shows, it means we can all too easily import diseases along with the 'cheap' food which, when all the factors are taken into account, turns out to be not so 'cheap' after all. All these things lead Land and People to recognise that a nationalist 'Buy British' policy is the only possible foundation on which to rebuild British agriculture as a going concern, and to preserve and strengthen the rural economy and the communities that rely on it. While the future BNP Government will use the power of the elected representatives of the British peoples to institute a 'Buy British' policy, we do not need to wait until the BNP is elected to begin to put our principles into practice. A truly effective 'Buy British' campaign must start from the grass-roots, with individual buying decisions made on the basis that considerations such as animal welfare, the maintenance of the countryside, and straightforward patriotism, make it worth paying a little more for home-grown produce.



www.land-and-people.net



OUR VISION - YOUR FUTURE!

We have a dream! We have a dream of a countryside where the dreary agri-business prairies of East Anglia and the Midlands are replaced by a patchwork of revived mixed-farms run by a new generation of family farmers and small-holders.

We have a dream that battery farming of sub-standard meat packed with growth hormones and antibiotics will be replaced by naturally-reared livestock.

We have a dream that the profits made by selling good quality food to a secure home market will go to the farmers who produce it, not to supermarkets.

We have a dream that agriculture will once again help to safeguard and extend the diversity of our wildlife, instead of wiping out nature in a scramble for short-term profit.

We have a dream of vibrant villages with reopened schools full of children, rather than holiday homes and closed down pubs and Post Offices.

We have a dream. But with your hope it can become a vision – **a vision that will inspire others to turn it into reality!**



IT'S A FACT: MASS PRODUCED AGRI-BUSINESS FOOD ISN'T AS GOOD FOR YOU

Fruit and vegetables are not as good for us as they were 50 years ago, according to a scientific survey. It shows that industrial farming techniques have reduced alarmingly the amount of essential minerals in the food we eat.

The shock survey, by food nutritionist David Thomas, proves that there is up to 75% less calcium and 93% less copper in commercially-produced fruit and vegetables these days. Runner beans, which used to contain a significant amount of sodium – vital for healthy nerves and muscles – now have almost no traces at all. The levels of other important minerals such as iron, phosphorous, potassium and magnesium have also plummeted. The survey used data from *The Composition of Foods*, a comprehensive study of the content of all major foods dating back to 1940.

The across-the-board reduction in essential minerals in food is the result of modern farming techniques that substitute artificial fertiliser for traditional mixed-farming husbandry. Professor Tim Lang, of the Centre for Food Policy at Thames Valley University, says that the results show a trend that needs to be exposed: "We are dying prematurely of coronary heart disease and cancer and we are being told to cut down on fat and eat more fruit and vegetables. But at the same time they are changing the content of what we are eating."



A CAMPAIGNING GROUP WITH ITS EYE ON THE BALL

Within days of the outbreak of the foot-and-mouth disaster, Land & People had convinced the British National Party of the need to fight the epidemic with the latest vaccination technology rather than with a 19th century mass slaughter policy totally unsuited to a country flooded with unsafe foreign meat imports, and where EU rules have led to animals being transported huge distances to market and slaughter.

A 'Vaccination not Extermination' campaign was set up straight away, with a state-of-the-art website providing all the information about the issue, and demolishing the unscientific lies of the Government, MAFF and their NFU leadership Judas Goats.

Land & People cyberspace campaigners were involved in online discussions in influential Internet newsgroups, and activists distributed large numbers of leaflets about the issue all over the country, all helping to build the case for the mass vaccination recommended by the world's leading FMD experts.

Phone line: 01938 820 560



Land and People - our aims... your aims!

- 1) To campaign in favour of British agriculture and fisheries against the various but often interrelated threats posed by EU bureaucracy, unfair foreign competition, government stupidity or neglect, and agri-business monopoly.
- 2) To help speed the day when withdrawal from the EU and the abolition of the Common Agricultural Policy allows a British nationalist government to scrap the crippling expensive subsidy regime that has produced environmental devastation and the mass destruction of perfectly good food or the farce of 'set aside', and failed miserably to prevent the loss of huge numbers of family farms and rural jobs.
- 3) To help to plan and popularise a system that encourages and properly rewards the production of quality rather than quantity, increases sustainable and healthy organic production, allows smaller producers to compete effectively, and creates a truly level playing field on which family farms can compete without a short-term dash for profit.
- 4) To encourage a greater understanding of rural issues and problems among the urban population, and so resist the 'divide and rule' propaganda of the left-liberal 'elite.'
- 5) To raise awareness of the biggest animal cruelty outrage in Britain: alien 'religious' ritual slaughter, and to campaign for a Swiss-style ban on this barbaric and unnecessary practice.
- 6) To act as a 'think-tank' and research group to ensure that the BNP's rural policies are made with very substantial inputs from real country dwellers.
- 7) To introduce people in rural areas to the BNP and to the nationalist answers to the problems they face in a state run by metropolitan city dwellers. The people of rural Britain are becoming an oppressed minority. We're here to stand up for them.

LAND AND PEOPLE - PEOPLE LIKE YOU!

☐ Yes, I want to help to build Land & People and the nationalist alternative to the globalist assault on rural Britain. Please register me as a supporter of Land & People.

Name : 'Phone :


Address :
.....

Post code : Age if under 24 :

Donation (if any) :

Any particular interests :
.....

Please return to: Land & People, PO Box 14, Welshpool,
Powys, SY21 0WE Tel: 01938 820 560
Email: mail@land-and-people.net



Website: www.land-and-people.net

● *Bexley organiser James Seadon explains the situation in Northern Ireland*

THE APPEASEMENT PROCESS: AN ANALYSIS OF BRITISH BETRAYAL!

With an impending general election, the Government is eager to inject fresh impetus into the stalled Northern Ireland "peace process". Their track record suggests that this will involve more concessions to Republican terror, and further dilution of the British presence in Ulster.




Quasi-Marxist terror groups such as the Provisional IRA and the "Real" IRA are flourishing. Their phoney appeal for an "Ireland for the Irish" masks their Communist agenda. Sinn Fein, the political wing of the IRA, stands firmly in favour of "gay" rights and mass immigration into Ireland.

They have sought to insulate themselves and their disastrous policy from criticism, and the so-called Good Friday Agreement is beyond reproach. Those with the temerity to point out that it is designed to appease terrorists and to lever Ulster out of the UK are accused of "opposing peace". The Agreement makes alarming reading for those concerned with the maintenance of the Union.

PC poison

It also contains within it leftist social theories such as a version of human rights which positively discriminates in favour of minorities at the expense of the democratic majority, and politicises the police force, emphasising not its effectiveness but its ethnic composition. It also privileges those intent on demeaning and eventually destroying any evidence of British or Ulster culture. In its attitude towards Ulster the government has legitimised Republican terrorists by accepting their analysis of "the causes of conflict" which is in Gerry Adams words; "The British presence is the catalyst of armed struggle... the ingredients of armed struggle are inherent in the six county state". It equates a more peaceful Ulster with a diminution of its Britishness. Sinn Fein/IRA realise that there is no need to prosecute a full-scale war anymore. The mere threat of its murderous violence

is enough and is part of a very successful strategy known as TUAS - the Tactical Use of Armed Struggle. A clear pattern has formed: Government state-



The real face of Sinn Fein, who openly identify with "minorities" who fight for "liberation" all over the world, such as the racist ANC. You won't see this side of the IRA when they are fundraising in America!

ment, Republican intransigence, Government weakness, Republican gain.

Decommissioning

An example of this is decommissioning. The Agreement's terms stated unequivocally that paramilitary weapons had to be given up prior to talks. This has *still* not happened. The terms were continually watered down resulting in the ludicrous situation of having the representatives of a fully armed terrorist organisation in executive positions drawing salaries provided by the taxpayer!

Republican gain

The Agreement provides Republicanism with various gains, particularly the release of prisoners. There are now virtually no terrorists in prison aside from a few dissidents belonging to splinter groups. As well as the total lack of justice, the potential consequences of these practised killers being back on the streets need hardly be spelt out. The Patten Report into policing is destroying the RUC's British identity. It also refuses to acknowledge that a major reason for the shortage of Catholic officers is not institutional prejudice, but because the IRA targets them as 'traitors.'

Cultural war

Other targets in Sinn Fein's 'cultural war' are also met under the Agreement. For example, the calls for "courtrooms to be free of symbols", oaths for public office to be changed and the Irish language to be promoted by the Department of Education. There is little in the Agreement to benefit Unionists. The assertion made by those who voted for it, that the Union is safeguarded is at best insubstantial.

The principle of consent is not clearly defined, stating that if in the future the people of the island of Ireland decide that they wish to have a United Ireland, both the London and Dublin governments will be obliged to give effect to that wish.

No further "Britishness"

The establishment of cross-border bodies is another example of the problems facing those who wish to see the rights of Northern Ireland's majority defended, as is the leaked statement made by the Irish Foreign Minister that "there should be no further evidence of Britishness in the governance of Northern

"A devolved non-sectarian assembly could be set up, denying office to anyone with links to paramilitary organisations that had not decommissioned all weapons..."


Ireland". There is, however, an alternative. The British Government could decide not to surrender to terrorism. They could remove the ambiguity of Ulster's constitutional position by stating that it will remain part of Great Britain permanently, thus removing the incentive for republican recruitment and activity. They could implement a security strategy based on effective intelligence, counter-insurgency and ultimately defeat a contained and weakened threat.

BNP: Tough on terrorists!

Previous agreements could be terminated and the provisions therein be scrapped. A devolved non-sectarian assembly could be set up, denying office to anyone with links to paramilitary organisations that had not decommissioned all weapons and ceased military operations. This will only happen under a government that puts British interests first, a government that has a clear perception of justice, one that has a high regard for morality - a government formed by the BNP!

BBC PROPAGANDA: TIME TO FIGHT BACK!

According to the British Crime Survey, in 1999, only 26% of reported racially motivated incidents were committed against ethnic minorities. There were 150,000 reported racially motivated incidents, of which 39,000 were racist incidents against ethnic minorities and 111,000 were racist incidents against whites. In fact there were 192% more incidents against whites than against ethnic minorities in 1999.



The Stephen Lawrence legend has become a cause celebre for the liberal-left multiracialist elite. The white victims of racist crime don't seem to attract the same kind of media circus despite being the majority.

Looking at the "Producer's Guidelines" promise to viewers the BBC proudly boast about, we find the following comments: "All BBC programmes and services should be open minded, fair and show a respect for truth. No significant strand of thought should go unreflected or under represented on the BBC." "When portraying social groups, stereotypes should be avoided." "Audiences must be able to trust the integrity of BBC programmes. They should be confident that decisions are made only for good editorial reasons, not as a result of improper pressure, be it political, commercial or special interest.

Accuracy?

Now taking on board the above two paragraphs one might expect the BBC2 programme "Hate Crime" broadcast on the 15th March to be an accurate reflection of the situation in modern day Britain. However, those of us naïve enough to trust the BBC when it comes to mat-

ters multiracial did not have to wait long for disappointment.

Anti-white racism

Within seconds of the opening captions, the African narrator, told us what we were in for, "Bermondsey, a street where white youths patrol at night and black people are afraid to walk." Viewers then had to endure what




A still from the programme in question: would they ever portray blacks or Asians in this light, even though the majority of race attacks are perpetrated by Blacks and Asians?

amounted to nearly an hour of the most nauseating anti-white racism that even challenged the previous standards set by our state controlled broadcaster. Not once were white people ever afforded the status of victim, instead in every single incident they were portrayed as violent criminals. Despite the fact that ethnic minorities are far more likely to commit hate crimes against whites, this scenario was

not even hinted at during the entire programme. Perhaps the most disturbing part of this film dealt with the reading out of a racially abusive letter obviously sent by a crank. Just so we didn't miss the point the letter reading was interspersed with images of the Cross of St George. Of course the message was obvious, all English people are racists. Can you imagine the resultant uproar if the Jamaican or Pakistani flags were besmirched in such a manner?

Politically correct

As the film ground on the full political angle began to unravel. Viewers were once again reminded about the Stephen Lawrence enquiry and hard left "hate experts" like Imran Khan and Peter Tatchell were ceremoniously wheeled out before the cameras. Hate crime was produced and directed by Helena Appio who was responsible for Channel Four's infamous 1998 "The Windrush Years" so it will



"Hate" expert Imran Khan: well known for his "anti-racist" credentials

come as little surprise that she herself is black. Make no mistake about it, "Hate Crime" was nothing to do with the truth it was a blatant propaganda film. Not only was it a complete distortion of the truth it was also a disgusting racial slur against white people in general.

Criminal!

It is this type of blatant left wing political programming that actually increases racial tension by reinforcing hostility towards white people consequently producing even more racial attacks upon them. It is for this reason that broadcasting programmes like "Hate Crime" is little short of criminal in itself.

Simon Darby

How to make a serious complaint to the BBC

Telephone: 08700 100 222
BBC, PO Box 1116, Belfast BT2 7AJ.

Election Impact Fund

The Election Impact Fund will be used to help the BNP make an extra impact in several key parts of the country:

Inner East London. White flight makes it unusually hard to build a financially sound base, but the remaining whites are more likely than ever to vote BNP;

Outer East London. An area of immense future potential, where we still need that extra push to get properly established;

Leicester. A generous new member has offered to pay the £500 deposit for a second seat in a city where white working class voters are disgusted by Keith Vaz. Help is needed paying for the election address.

Lancashire. We need to fight a second seat in powderkeg Oldham - a town where we didn't even have a proper organisation not long ago. We also want to throw extra resources at another seat where we're looking for the best ever BNP parliamentary vote in the North West.

To achieve these 'icing on the cake' extras and make the most out of the coming election, the BNP must raise £4,000 *within the next month*. Any later will be too late, so please join all other BNP supporters like you taking action today. Please make cheques/PO's payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage', and send to: **PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ.**

TIME TO EXPOSE THE UNEMPLOYMENT SWINDLE!

The official unemployment figure for the UK is hovering around one million – and has been set to dip below this psychologically important number in time for the General Election - and so it has! Most people are vaguely aware that the unemployment figures are ‘massaged’ by the government, but would be surprised and shocked to know the full extent of the massive confidence trick surrounding joblessness.



The true figure for unemployment is around 4.5 million, but the Government claims that fewer than 1 million are unemployed!

According to Paul Bivand in the October 2000 issue of *Working Brief*: “Our widest measure of unemployment shows 4,433,300 people who want to work.” In other words, almost four-and-a-half-times the official total admitted by the government!

Tories to blame as well!

And it isn't just Labour who have presided over such disastrous figures. In 1993, under the Tory government, the real figure peaked at over 6 million. With typical cynicism, The Labour Party in opposition complained bitterly about the number of times the Tory government changed the method of counting the unemployed – yet continued to use those very same methods once Tony Blair became Prime Minister.

Fiddling the figures

The main way the figures are fiddled is by counting only those who are claiming ‘Jobseeker’s Allowance’ – and successive governments have tightly squeezed the eligibility for this, whilst simultaneously ‘persuading’ the jobless to claim other types of benefit which are not counted in the headline figure.

Scandalous

These include sickness and disability benefits, which offer more income to the individual than straightforward unemployment benefit. For this reason, there is has been a net move to sickness benefit from unemploy-

ment benefits – between November 1998 and November 1999, for example, 92,000 claimants moved from Jobseeker’s Allowance to sickness benefits, while just 49,000 went the other way. This alone represents a net loss of 43,000 from the headline unemployment total.

A liberal lie

Benefits for lone parents, bogus ‘training schemes’ (New Deal, etc), early retirement, and recent

“...people are justifiably angry at the racist Lib-Lab-Con system which has left them on the scrap heap whilst importing cheaper labour from the global economy.”

pilot schemes to pay school students to stay on to the sixth form also help to mask the real number of people who would prefer to be in work. Faced with the true extent of slack in the labour market, the recent government propaganda about the UK “running short of workers to support an ageing population” is nothing short of astonishing. Even the official number of unemployed is around one million, whilst the true figure, as we have seen, hovers around 4.5 million.

British jobs for the British!

Yet our masters are telling us that we must continue to import millions of Third World immi-

grants to support the ‘lazy’ British population! In some Third World regions, literacy levels are as low as five per cent, the working-age population is overwhelmingly rural, household computer-ownership virtually zero, and English is spoken (if at all) only as a second or third language. Yet we are told that Britain, the country which invented the computer, desperately needs the ‘expertise’ of these immigrants because our own army of unemployed cannot be taught IT skills!

Beleaguered

The ‘forgotten army’ of unemployed has a deeper significance for the British National Party’s local election campaigns. In many local authorities, between a quarter and a third of the population is out of work. These people are justifiably angry at the racist Lib-Lab-Con system which has left them on the scrap heap whilst importing cheaper labour from the global economy.

Globalism

We need to explain to them, patiently and with constant repetition, that globalism is directly responsible for their plight. Their anger must be turned into votes for nationalism rather than stay-at-home cynicism. And the next time you hear a bent (in every sense of the word) Lib/Lab/Con politician spouting about the ‘need’ for more bogus asylum seekers, remember the millions of native British people who would love to have a job.

THE BNP AND THE GENERAL ELECTION

The delay of the General Election won't make a huge difference to the BNP's plans. We announced a full year ago that, in order to concentrate on winnable council elections, we wouldn't be launching an old style suicidal frontal assault in the teeth of the far bigger guns of the old parties.

Sensible tactics

We're sticking to that plan, despite attempts by opponents and 'knockers' to portray this as a step away from the 'good old days'. Indeed it is, because they've involved decades of miniature re-runs of the Battle of the Somme! The old tale that they produce floods of new members is, unfortunately, wishful thinking. The plain fact is that follow-ups who don't get a prompt personal visit hardly ever join up. Paying for dozens of extra seats in order to get more follow-ups than we can realistically cope with is crass stupidity. Anyone urging that course of action is, accordingly, either stupid or mischief-making.

Hot spots

But, that said, having already sorted out the 25 or so seats we need to fight for current organisational purposes, we are now in a position to use the extra month created by the foot-and-mouth crisis to do a little extra fine-tuning, without going 'over the top.' There are a number of seats in various parts of the country where, for one reason or another, we need to fight an above-average campaign, or where it would be advantageous to us to stand but where the local unit is unable to do so without a bit of help.

So, we have launched a one-month only fund-raising drive: The General Election Impact Fund. Please see page 10 for more details - and do as much as you can to help the British National Party take advantage of Tony Blair's dithering!

PROGRESS ALL

BNP obtains 17% in East London council by-election

● The BNP polled 17% in a recent by-election in Newham, East London. BNP candidate **Michael Davidson**, and local organiser **Dave Hill**, ran a good campaign to get more than double the vote received by the Tories, who managed a pathetic 8%. Labour scraped victory with 40% of the vote, maintaining their 60 seat monopoly on Newham council, but most interesting the Christian Alliance took 34% of the vote to come second, after a very energetic campaign. Organiser **Dave Hill** deserves extra praise for running a good campaign with the resources available, well done!



BNP candidate **Michael Davidson**

3 new groups set up!

● The Group Development department turned its attention to Sussex this month and, encouraged by the growing number of enquiries in the county, launched three meetings with the aim of establishing an organised BNP presence. Meetings were held in Eastbourne, Crawley, and Worthing, all addressed by Group Development Director **Tony Lecomber**. As a result three new groups have been set up. **Eastbourne BNP** will cover Bexhill, Hastings and of course, Eastbourne; **Worthing BNP** will cover Worthing and Brighton and **West Sussex BNP** will cover Crawley and Horsham. Sussex is a densely populated area, but has never had an organised BNP presence. Thanks to the initiative of the Group Development department that has now all been changed!



Group Development Director, **Tony Lecomber**

South East London launch their General Election campaign


● South East London BNP have launched their General Election campaign with a meeting aimed at mobilising local activists and raising funds. It was chaired by BNP Director of Publicity, **Paul Golding**, and attended by BNP stalwart **Colin Smith** and National Chairman **Nick Griffin**. With 45 people present, Golding kicked off the meeting with a round up of recent party news and progress, before introducing the two local organisers of South East London region, new Bexley Organiser **James Seadon**, and Lewisham & Bromley organiser **Richard Brook**. Both gave a brief round-up of local initiatives. Mr. Golding then gave a short talk on the general election and the party's strategy. He then introduced the two candidates, Regional organiser **Colin Smith**, who is standing in Bexley, and Lewisham & Bromley Secretary **Barry Roberts**, who is standing in Lewisham East. After a short break and a good collection, he introduced National Chairman **Nick Griffin**, who spoke for an hour on the consequences of the policies pursued so enthusiastically by the Establishment, ending with a call to those present to follow in the footsteps of our great ancestors, who wouldn't have sat on their backsides should Britain have faced such a peril during their time!



Colin Smith and Barry Roberts



Director of Publicity **Paul Golding**



National Chairman **Nick Griffin**

PARTY NEWS IN BRIEF! A ROUND UP OF THE MONTH'S PARTY NEWS!



■ Despite appalling weather, more than thirty members from the Leeds area attended a local branch meeting and heard no fewer than six excellent speakers! The Leeds organiser spoke about the Northern Rally. He was followed by Nick Cass who told us about several very innovative campaigning methods being tested in Dewsbury. Tony McDonnell then spoke, giving his usual inspirational best. Oldham organiser Mick Treacy described the police interrogation of the staff of the club where the party held its North of Britain Spring rally. Finally, Lancashire stalwart Chris Jackson gave a brilliant and highly amusing talk on the anti-British mass-media, and the role it plays in attacking the British National Party.

■ Dewsbury BNP are getting a remarkably good response to a petition against anti-white racist attacks in the town. The petition team have found that they can collect in excess of 300 signatures per session. In addition, they are equipped with a good quality portable tape recorder and have found a number of members of the public willing to talk about their experiences of anti-white racism and to have what they have to say recorded. Some of these brief interviews will be used in various ways during the General Election campaign. Watch this space!

■ Oldham BNP scored a major victory when their campaign against an application for planning permission for yet another mosque in the town resulted in complete victory for the white residents who opposed the

proposal. Oldham activists spent hours recording traffic flows in the area, providing evidence as to its unsuitability for a mosque which was used to great effect by Mick Treacy when he spoke up for local residents at the planning meeting. The application was thrown out by an overwhelming majority, the successful BNP campaign got very good local press coverage, and a member of the public thanked the British National Party on local radio. The BNP is resisting the "Islamisation" of Britain!

■ 30 members and supporters attended a meeting of Coventry BNP, addressed by Nick Griffin and Dr. Phil Edwards. The start of the meeting was briefly delayed while Dr. Edwards, in his capacity as BNP Press Officer, made a live appear-

ance on Radio 4's 'Any Questions' programme. Coventry branch chairman Mark Matthews gave activity reports and introduced the speakers, who also included the party's prospective parliamentary candidate for Coventry North East, John Sheppard. In addition to a collection of £139, local activists who had pledged £50 each towards the election deposit handed in a total of £500. They are also distributing 10,000 copies of a special Coventry edition of the BNP newspaper *Freedom*.

■ Darlington BNP organiser Paul Thompson launched another successful community politics initiative when he was tipped off about plans to turn the local hospital's memorial gardens into a car park. He lobbied local councillors and took the story to the local press, and started to mobilise local opposition to the proposal. As a result, the authorities responsible realised that the idea - which they clearly had hoped would slip through unnoticed - was a non-starter, and dropped it!

AROUND !

Great Glasgow BNP meeting!

● BNP Deputy Chairman **Scott McLean** and local organiser **Derek Smith** were host to the first meeting this year of Glasgow BNP. Around **40 activists** attended the meeting, which was chaired by Scott, who started the meeting by discussing recent press coverage, including an interview with *The Scotsman* newspaper. **Derek Smith** was up next, touching on the new legislation regarding the finances of political parties, and explaining that Glasgow BNP has been targetting one particular ward in the city, with the aim of fielding a candidate in the 2003 council elections. A canvassing team is being set up, as is a planning committee, to coordinate local campaigns. Finally there was a question and answer session, and a collection that **raised over £110**.



Deputy Chairman Scott McLean (left), and Glasgow organiser Derek Smith

● Funds appeal "BUILDING BLOCKS" FUND!

While the Labour and Tory parties are bankrolled by multi-million donations from big businessmen buying influence and knighthoods, the British National Party has only one source of funds - the ordinary, decent men and women who are its members and supporters.

Building Blocks fund targets:

- 1) Training and equipping regional treasurers £1,000;
- 2) Top quality anti-virus software for 12 key computers £500;
- 3) Internet radio station £3,000;
- 4) Design and preparation of new leaflet range £500;
- 5) Revamp and upgrade of key BNP circles and recruitment material £2,000;
- 6) Training canvassing teams £1,000;
- 7) Further improvements to display backdrops for major meetings £500;
- 8) Tapes and related materials for personal recruitment experiment £1,000;
- 9) White Victims' Support Group £500;
- 10) Design and production of activists' and organisers' support packs £2,000;
- 11) Production of Manifesto £2000.

**£14,000 TARGET -
£4,559 RAISED SO FAR!**



*The courageous
Gunther Deckert*

Deckert addresses Croydon branch

● Croydon British National Party was very fortunate to host a meeting on the 8th March, when the guest speaker was the intrepid German Prisoner of Conscience, **Gunther Deckert**. Deckert had been locked away for over five years for "Thought Crimes", and was released only last October. The meeting was chaired by Croydon stalwart **Mike Barns**. He was introduced by **Richard Edmonds** and **John Tyndall**, who both praised Herr Deckert for resilience under immense pressure on both family and himself in "democratic" Germany. Herr Deckert then took the stage and addressed those present with a rousing speech, thanking the BNP for organising protests outside the German Embassy last year in support of his release from jail, and also in support of the NPD, a patriotic German party facing an undemocratic ban. Attended by **40 people**, everyone left with unanimous praise for the heroic Herr Deckert.

Asset base expansion

● With the announcement last month that the party has put itself on an instant readiness footing with the purchase of its very own short-run **digital duplicator**, the expansion of the party's asset base has continued with the purchase of a **large guillotine**, and a time-saving leaflet **folding machine**. The latter in particular will save the party hundreds of pounds in the forthcoming General Election, while the former will cut the short-run leaflets hot-off-the-press in our printshop. The leadership hopes to continue building the party's asset base, in a sensible, levelheaded way, purchasing equipment that will help the party makes its first electoral breakthroughs at local level.



*The party's new
folding machine*

Griffin speaks at Leeds University!

● Despite the fact that a huge turn-out of 'bussed in' leftists led to the Leeds University students' union maintaining its anti-free speech 'No Platform' policy, patriotic students in Leeds are still determined to secure the right of free speech for all. In the latest step in this campaign, they arranged for **BNP Chairman Nick Griffin** to speak on campus to an audience of 20 supporters of freedom of expression. Despite threats by Red fascists, the meeting went ahead in a lecture room without incident. This is the first time that a nationalist speaker has addressed a meeting inside a British university for **nearly twenty years**. Once again the BNP has stood up for free speech - and won!



NOTEPAD

● The last issue of Identity appeared later than expected. This is due to the fact that the printer we use for our newspaper Freedom, went bankrupt, leaving us to find a replacement! As you may expect, this was a difficult operation because printers handling newsprint are few and far between. While ID came out on the 8th of March, it couldn't be distributed because both publications are dispatched on a literature run, and the cost and time of doing two separate literature runs round about a week apart is a wasteful operation. We thus apologise for any inconvenience caused.

● Readers will note that this issue has a 'green' theme, to assist in the relaunch of our rural affairs circle - Land People.

I enclose my donation of
£.....
Name:.....

Address:.....

Please make cheques/POs out to "British National Party" or "British Heritage" and send to: The Secretary, PO Box 51, Oundle, PE8 6WQ. Please send an SAE if wanting a receipt for less than £10.

■ America falling apart into ethnostates!

Multiracial America is falling apart! Last month saw the publication of a nationwide survey that showed that there is a direct connection between growing ethnic 'diversity' and falling social cohesion in all the parts of the USA affected by non-white immigration.

Huge row

That has been followed by a huge row between militant Mexicans and the wealthy Californian Jewish community, from whom they are trying to wrest political power. West Coast liberals are up in arms over an editorial in *La Voz de Aztlan*, the paper of the powerful Hispanic racist organisation *La Raza* ('The Race'). Entitled 'La Raza and Jews on Collision Course in Alta California', this stated bluntly that: "The battle lines between Mexicanos and Jews in California have been drawn and they have been drawn most clearly in the City of Los Angeles."

Ethnic interests

It goes on to complain that "the Jews of California, about 3% of the state's population, have an overwhelming and disproportionate share of the state's wealth which they utilize effectively to wield immense influence on the state's political apparatus ... Whatever sector they can not control directly, they will do it indirectly through the purchase of influence and through cunning manipulation of ethnic and other minorities." "Our increasing population and voting strength will, in the near future, collide with the interests of the Jews in Alta California and for that matter in the other regions of Aztlan."

American breakup

Needless to say, the aggressive expansionism of racist Hispanic groups like *La Raza* also puts them on a collision course with blacks and whites in the South West USA. Small wonder that Thomas Chittum's underground best-seller, *Civil War II*, predicts that the bloody break-up of the USA into mutually hostile 'ethnostates' will begin in California.


Check out the BNP's website
www.bnp.org.uk

● Director of Publicity Paul Golding explains...

WHAT IS HAPPENING IN FRANCE

In 1997, the Front National, led by Jean-Marie Le Pen, was France's third largest party, terrifying the French establishment, who could see before their eyes a "far-right" surge to power taking place. In the last European elections, the FN was tipped to take 20-25% of the vote. But then came a devastating internal split, which political commentators predicted would lead to the annihilation of the nationalist movement in the country's municipal elections, which were held last month.

The French Right is now divided into two factions: the *Front National* (FN) led by Jean-Marie Le Pen, and the newer *Mouvement National Republicain* (MNR) led by Bruno Megret. Megret, who orchestrated most of the successful campaigns of the FN from the late 80s onwards, was widely tipped as the successor to Le



President of the MNR,
Bruno Megret

Pen because of his outstanding political abilities. Jean-Marie Le Pen built the FN from nothing to a mainstream mass movement, but in recent years many in the movement reproached him over repeated PR gaffes and internal heavy-handedness. While it appeared that Le Pen would shortly stand down in favour of Megret, the wide-

spread concern over the older man's suitability was kept under control, but when Le Pen started to purge 'Megreists' from key positions, the tensions escalated and blew the old FN to pieces. Both parties did badly in the last European election, with the MNR picking up 3%, and the FN 5%. In the run up to the latest French elections, British and French journalists alike predicted the demise of the fragmented French Right. The MNR - new on the scene and with the FN in the way - was expected to obtain extremely low votes and collapse as a result.

The contenders

The FN now only had one Mayor, Jaques Bompard, Mayor of Orange. Jean-Marie Le Chevaillier, Mayor of Toulon (FN elect) had left altogether, and Catherine Megret and Daniel Simonpieri, FN elected Mayors of Vitrolles and Marignane respectively, were now in the MNR. Only Jaques Bompard was expected to hang on to his position. The *Front National*, despite being larger than the MNR, put up lists in only 111 French towns, while the MNR stood in 400, more than the united FN managed last time! This reflected the MNR's claim that 60 per cent of the *Front's* organisers had left with Megret, which the FN countered by recycling media smears about the MNR having conned old ladies into signing their nomination papers. All was in the balance, and the stakes were high.

The election

Come polling day, the MNR pulled off some spectacular successes, getting Catherine Megret re-elected Mayor of Vitrolles with 45 per cent, and getting Daniel Simonpieri re-elected as Mayor of Marignane with 62 per cent of the vote. Passing the 5% barrier in all 95 French depart-

ments, the MNR, in the words of Bruno Megret "have won the battle to implant ourselves at local level in every town in this country." The MNR obtained over 20 per cent in over 30 French towns. The FN got Jaques Bompard re-elected in Orange with 60% of the vote, and also produced some excellent results. Despite their disunity, the nationalists did very well, all things considered.



President of the FN,
Jean-Marie Le Pen

So what does the future hold? The big problem for the FN is that Le Pen is retiring after the next presidential election and has no credible successor. The MNR, ably led by Bruno Megret, a smooth political operator and proven organiser, and clearly pulling ahead in the organisational stakes, is likely to mop up the remnants of the former FN. Despite the existence of a handful of tiny fringe groups, this would restore the effective unity of the French nationalist movement and allow it once again to be as effective as possible in struggle to save thousands of years of White European civilisation in France. The liberal predictions about the demise of French nationalism were way off the mark!



The logo of the MNR, more than likely the future rallying point of French Nationalism?

• A series on the myths and legends of the British Isles by Stephen McDonald

DRAGONS ARE ALIVE AND WELL IN THE BRITISH ISLES!

Dragons are alive and well in the British Isles! These fabulous beasts abound in scores of local legends and are one of the most commonly occurring of all heraldic animals. A red one appears on the national flag of Wales (Cymru) and there are statues of them all over our islands. In our folklore and mythology there are two types of dragon: the more ancient, long, sinuous worm (wyrms or orms), which had poisonous breath, and the more fanciful scaly, winged, flying variety which could also breath fire.

The latter first appeared in our folklore after the introduction of Christianity and are possibly linked with Christian imagery of the Devil. Dragons had a dietary preference for young virgins and were often associated with being the guardians of a hoard of treasure; Smaug in Tolkien's *The Hobbit* was based on such a myth.

Ancient sources

Dragons and dragon slayers go together in folklore and legend. Beowulf and Sigurd of Germanic sources, and St. George the patron Saint of England, are heroic slayers. So how would one kill these menacing beasts? Each had their own method, some using brute strength, others more cunning and magical, even humorous.

Local tales

There are scores of local tales such as the Lambton worm (Co. Durham) The dragon that lived in the village well ravaged the area but was enticed out of the well by Sir John Lambton who ingeniously created a suit of armour studded with spear heads. The serpent coiled himself around the body of Sir John and thus sliced itself to pieces! The monster that lived in a bottomless pond called Knucker Hole in Lyminster (Sussex) displayed the usual draconian appetite for female virgins.

Poisoned pie

The beast was dealt with by a local lad, Jim Pulk. He had no expensive weapons to use against the beast but he baked an enormous poisoned pie which he carted to the pond and lay in wait. The dragon soon emerged from the pond, consumed the pie and as he went into his death throws Jim decapitated him with his scythe and carried the head in triumph to his local inn. It was




The flag of Wales carries a large dragon

there that, while taking a celebratory drink, he collapsed and died, apparently because he wiped his mouth with his hand on which were traces of poison. There remains to this day a tombstone in the local church marked as "The Slayer's Stone".

Chopped in half

Other methods of dealing with



Another example of dragons in mythology, St. George, the Patron Saint of England slaying a dragon

the beasts include chopping the worm in half (Shervage Wood, Somerset), choking with peat smoke (Linton, Borders) forcing the dragon to see its reflection (Penhesgyn, Anglesey) and even a handsome prince kissing the beast (Spindlestone Crag, Northumbria).

No evidence

No fossil or other zoological evidence has ever been uncovered to suggest dragons really existed. There are several theories for the manifestation of legendary dragons. The most popular being that they are personifications of dark forces, the cause of many phenomena that could not readily be explained by our ancestors; famine, disease, bad weather. The hero who slays the beast represents the triumph of good over evil, life recovering after pestilence, a good harvest after a bad period.

Older than we think

Some suggest that dragon legends are much older and are relics of a solar myth, the beast representing the dark clouds which swallow the sun. Whatever the case, the hero who toils for others, who slays monsters, dries the tears of fair-haired damsels, and achieves success against the odds, is a being with whom we can all sympathise, and of whom we never weary of hearing. ■

Reviews

by John Armstrong



THE NAME OF FRAILTY?

THE SCORPION

It would be difficult to overestimate the value of this excellent periodical to anyone interested in society from a traditional, as opposed to a modernistic, world view.

Published annually as an English language publication in Germany by Michael Walker, the magazine takes a theme; the current edition, for example, concentrates on evolutionary theory and Darwinism, and contains many erudite views on evolution. The previous edition concentrated on education in the widest sense, and when I passed it on to a colleague who holds a senior position in that field he expressed the view that Mr Walker was a genius, who in six short pages summed up my friend's views on the subject after a lifetime spent in the field. The magazine is independent and is obviously a labour of love for its founder, besides being well produced and written. I can also commend the excellent book reviews which the magazine runs, often on subjects of great interest to the patriotically aware individual. Combine this with thoughtful letters from readers across Europe, an opinion page where organisations can express their views, and you are left with what is undoubtedly one of the best periodicals available on the traditional patriotic scene.

Available from Freedom Books. £5 including p&p. PO Box 22, Mansfield, Notts, NG19 6HP.


HAVE YOU JOINED THE TRAFALGAR CLUB?

REASONS TO JOIN:

- Our party does miracles on a tiny budget - but we would do so much more if we had the funds! The BNP cannot rely on billionaire foreign businessmen!
- The BNP runs on donations from its supporters. Like all parties membership subscriptions only cover the basics.
- Trafalgar Club membership costs only £3.46 a week - the cost of two pints of beer or a cheap meal. That's all you'll have to pay!
- Your forefathers died for Britain in their millions - all you have to do is donate £3.46 a week!
- If the Trafalgar Club had 1,000 members that would raise £180,000 a year - the party could fight elections everywhere and distribute The Voice of Freedom as a leaflet - think of the impact!
- If the Trafalgar Club had 2,000 members we'd have £360,000 a year to spend and be a major party!
- If the Trafalgar Club had 5,000 members we'd have nearly £1 million a year to spend and be unstoppable!
- Do you care enough about your family's and our country's future to give away a little more than three pounds a week?
- The time has never been better in fifty years for the patriotic cause to move forward - all over Europe, in France, Norway, Denmark and Austria to name a few, the BNP is joining the growing list of successful nationalist parties sweeping into prominence all over Europe!
- We can only do it with your financial support.
- Monthly draw, annual dinner, club tie and regular bulletins etc. for all members.
- Is your country worth £3.46 a week? Join the Trafalgar Club today!



RING
0700 900 2671



Centre: The Trafalgar Club tie. Far-left: the personal organiser. Above: The boxed pen set.

Trafalgar Club members make a real difference to the BNP! Its members pledge a minimum of £15 per month, in return for which they are entered into a boxed pen set prize draw every month, receive occasional special internal news bulletins, and are entitled to attend the annual Trafalgar Club dinner free of charge. In addition, every fully paid up member receives a Trafalgar Club tie (or a personalised organiser file in the case of ladies). The tie carries the first two 'words' of Nelson's semaphore signal before the Battle of Trafalgar - "England Expects." As the Trafalgar Club enters its second year, new members are very welcome. Please telephone 0700 900 1493 to request your dues card and to join the Trafalgar Club.